



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,429	02/26/2004	Joseph D. Rippolone	67067-012	4762
26096	7590	08/14/2008	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			GILBERT, WILLIAM V	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 350			3635	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			MAIL DATE	
			08/14/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/787,429	Applicant(s) RIPPOLONE, JOSEPH D.
	Examiner William V. Gilbert	Art Unit 3635

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: _____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/Basil Katcheves/
Examiner, Art Unit 3635

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: regarding the limitation of the gutter wall, the examiner noted in the rejection of claim 12 that the gutter walls were defined as Bortugno: 16 and 23. The applicant should respectfully note that the examiner is allowed to use the broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification and concluded that portion 23 is a wall of the gutter as applicant provided no additional structural limitations to define a gutter wall. Portion 23 also has a continuous cross section, in that "continuous cross section" may be interpreted in numerous ways: one is that the member extend the entire desired length, another is that it be continuous only a portion of the length. The limitation "continuous cross section" is open to numerous interpretations and the examiner concludes that the wall has a continuous cross section in that it extends for a desired length, or it has a continuous cross section for only a portion of the length between members 29.

Regarding the rejection of claims 7 and 8, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's analysis. The examiner concludes and maintains that the Bernardi reference does teach an input and return connector. See column 2, lines 30-40 in that this system can be hot water, steam or air which is supplied. These systems are well known for having inputs and returns and Figure 2 of Bernardi shows how the system is cyclic, which means it has an input and return. Regarding the statement that Bortugno teaches against the combination of the references used, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Both systems are used for the removal of snow or ice from a structure, and the Bernardi reference was used only for the teaching of an input and return system.

Regarding the use of linear and nonlinear portions, the examiner maintains the reasoning that it is not a patentable feature in that applicant has provided no evidence as to why the non-linear portion would be patentably significant. Regarding the rejection using duplication of parts, the examiner argues that no reasoning for the modification is necessary because the Harza case states that it is not a patentably significant feature since no new or unexpected results are produced.